



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/745,305	12/21/2000	Peter Tavernese JR.	NTL-3.2.149/3550 (12767HU	2060
7590 10/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky & Popeo P.C.			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
666 Third Aven	ue	•		
24th Floor New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/745,305	TAVERNESE, PETER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quynh H. Nguyen	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Au</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-29 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction of the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and specification is detected to the correction and specification and specification is objected to by the Examiner 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction and specification and specification and specification is objected to by the Examiner 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction and specification an	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objec	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,884,032).

Regarding claim 1, Bateman et al. teach a customer service response system (CSRS) (Fig. 1, 24) capable of responding to an incoming call from a calling party (Fig. 1, 8) by playing a message to the calling party (col. 9, lines 33-35 - IVR play greeting message to calling party); a graphical user interface (Fig. 1, workstation 18; col. 5, lines 26-27) electrically coupled to the CSRS and configured to received and display information from the CSRS originates from the calling party (col. 8, lines 62-65; col. 9, lines 10-12).

Bateman et al. do not specifically teach via a soft-key or graphical button the GUI is configured to selective initiate another message being sent from the CSRS to the calling party.

However, since customers and agents can communicate using e-mail (col. 7, lines 16-20), it would have been obvious that an agent from the ACD may easily initiate another message and click the send button to send to the calling party. This feature is notoriously well known in the art of ACDs.

Application/Control Number: 09/745,305

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claims 3-4 and 17-19, Bateman et al. teach the GUI displays messages from the CSRS to the calling party and at least one of a plurality of messages is customizable (col. 9, lines 33-35). For example, the IVR greets caller by playing "good morning", "please hold for the next available agent", or "if you would like a call back, please leave a call back number and best time to call".

Regarding claims 7 and 22, Bateman et al. do not teach the GUI provides an option for bypassing the CSRS. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to give customer/caller the option to bypass the CSRS to a regular telephone in case the caller does not wish to communicate with the agent via an interactive graphical display device.

Regarding claim 8, Bateman et al. teach the CSRS is an adjunct to a telephone (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 9 and 23, Bateman et al. teach the CSRS is capable of responding and playing a message to a plurality of incoming calls from calling parties (col. 9, lines 33-48).

Regarding claims 10-13, 20, 21, and 24 Bateman et al. teach the CSRS is configured to received voice, text, and multimedia messages (col. 10, lines 31-37).

Regarding claims 14 and 25, Bateman et al. teach the CSRS is capable of accessing a remote computer system (Fig. 10, customer site 210 is remote from agent site).

Regarding claims 15 and 26, it would have been obvious that after receive calling party's information, an agent realizes that he or she should transfer the calling party to

Application/Control Number: 09/745,305

Art Unit: 2642

another agent with specific skills that could be better serve the customer, then this agent transfer the calling party to the skilled agent. This feature is notoriously well known in the art of ACDs and the advantage of using it is also well known.

Claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1.

Claim 27 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Bateman et al. teach the call system response means for receiving information from a plurality of telephone calls (Fig. 9, plurality of customers).

Regarding claim 29, Bateman et al. teach customers and agents can communicate using e-mail (col. 7, lines 16-20), it would have been obvious that an agent from the ACD may easily initiate messages and click the send button to send to the calling party.

4. Claims 5, 6 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bateman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,884,032) in view of Wycherley et al. (U.S. Patent 5,163,081).

Regarding claims 5 and 6 Bateman et al. do not teach the CSRS includes a voice recognition program that is capable of converting voice signals in text messages and text messages into voice signal.

Wycherley et al. teach a Dual Party Relay System employing text-to-speech and speech-to-text synthesis to reduce service cost (col. 3, lines 43-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of including a voice recognition program that is capable of converting voice signals in text messages and text messages into voice signal, as taught by Wycherley, in Bateman's system in order to have a customer service response system that capable of receiving, converting, and transmitting voice signals in text messages and text messages into voice signal.

Claim 28 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claims 1 and 5.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 09/745,305

Art Unit: 2642

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 6

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lupph Ngrugen Quynh H. Nguyen

October 26, 2005